



0800 138 7222 sales@originamenity.com www.originamenity.com

A key role for the Amenity Forum is to keep members updated on issues that impact the Amenity Sector. We are continually asked for information on Glyphosate and updates on what is happening globally. (The article has hyperlinks included just click blue text to access further information and the articles referenced)

It is often quoted in the press that many councils in the UK, are banning the use of glyphosate. None of these decisions have been made on the basis of science. They are all political decisions by elected representatives who are unlikely to be experts in the field of such complex situations. The Forum supports the integrated use of any vegetation management techniques, but we all need to know the science and real impacts of these techniques. The update below hopefully brings you up to speed on Glyphosate.

Glyphosate Update

The European Chemicals Agency's (ECHA) Committee for <u>Risk Assessment (RAC)</u> published the results of their latest review on 30th May 2022. The Committee for Risk Assessment (RAC) recommended no change in the classification of Glyphosate. They agreed that there was no scientific reason to change the classification of glyphosate. Based on a wide-ranging review of scientific evidence, the committee again concludes that <u>classifying glyphosate</u> as a carcinogen is not justified. The Formulated products will continue to be classified as non-hazardous.

The EU review <u>timetable for Glyphosate</u> has been modified and in effect delayed by 1 year. It is not expected that member states will vote on the continued registration of the active substance until December 2023.

In the UK, HSE/CRD has already announced a 3 year extension of the active substance <u>registration</u> to 15/12/2025

Most newspaper articles reference the <u>WHO, IARC sub group 2015 decision</u> that Glyphosate should be classified as "probably carcinogenic" category, along with such dastardly things as eating processed meat, sunbathing too long and working as a hairdresser or barber. Interestingly all the other sections of WHO do not agree with this classification. What does IARC consider much more dangerous than glyphosate? Drinking wine or beer or eating salty fish.















0800 138 7222 sales@originamenity.com www.originamenity.com

Following the IARC 'hazard analysis,' 19 other agencies including the UN itself and agencies in Europe, Asia, Africa, Canada, New Zealand, Australia and the United States have reviewed the "probably carcinogenic" conclusion and rejected it outright, often with a scathing rebuke of the IARC, which has been mired in scandal since issuing its report. Two of the experts on the IARC panel were being paid by lawyers who were part of the syndicate looking to obtain compensation from Monsanto/Bayer. It is now widely know that 80% plus of the compensation payments being claimed from Bayer will be eaten up by fees from the legal profession.

The following table summarises quotes from the major registration authorities, all of whom have access to all the papers and are experts in this field.

This article and tables may be helpful to pass on to clients wishing to know more real scientific information rather than interpretation of data to generate headlines.

A good example of this occurred in an article this July in the *The Guardian*: '<u>Disturbing': weedkiller</u> ingredient tied to cancer found in 80% of US urine samples .

A number of articles have been published recently on how this data is incorrectly interpreted.

A critiqued by Kevin Folta entitled <u>Glyphosate detected in 80% of Urine samples reason for alarm or deception and distortion</u> of data

Also another article from the Atlanta business journal goes into more detail.

These make interesting reading and demonstrate how data can be used to distort facts when taken out of context.

The Amenity Forum will be pulling together as much information as possible on all aspects of integrated vegetation management. Many of these will be presented at the Amenity Forum Annual conference at Kettering Conference Centre on 13th October 2022 entitled.

"Change, Challenge and Opportunity"

If you are interested in attending, contact admin@amenityforum.net















0800 138 7222 sales@originamenity.com www.originamenity.com



What do global **regulatory** and **research agencies** conclude about the health impact of

GLYPHOSATE

Risk Assessment What is the Ukelihood this will cause ha	rm, based on dose and exposure?	
United States Environmental Protection Agency USA	"Human health risk assessment concludes that glyphosate is not likely to be carcinogenic to humans [and] no other meaningful risks to human health when the product is used according to the pesticide label"	2017
Confice of Pesticide Programs United States Environmental Protection Agency USA	"Not strong support for 'suggestive evidence of carcinogenic potential' based on the weight-of-evidence Even small, non-statistically significant changes were contradicted by studies of equal or higher quality. The strongest support is for 'not likely to be carcinogenic to humans"	2017
NTP National Toucology Program	"Little evidence of toxicity, and there was no evidence of glyphosate causing damage to DNA"	1992
■◆■ Health Canada	"Products containing glyphosate do not present unacceptable risks to human health or the environment when used according to the revised product label directions Risks to [occupational] handlers are not of concern for all scenarios" "No pesticide regulatory authority in the world currently considers glyphosate to be a cancer risk to humans at the levels at which humans are currently exposed"	2017
EECHA EUROPEAN CHEMICALS AGENCY	"Based on the epidemiological data as well as on data from long-term studies in rats and mice, taking a weight of evidence approach, no hazard classification for carcinogenicity is warranted"	2017
Europe	"ECHA's Committee for Risk Assessment (RAC) agrees to keep glyphosate's current classification as causing serious eye damage and being toxic to aquatic life[but] again concludes that classifying glyphosate as a carcinogen is not justified."	2022
efsa European Food Safety Authority	"Glyphosate is unlikely to be genotoxic or to pose a carcinogenic threat to humans Neither the epidemiological data nor the evidence from animal studies demonstrated causality between exposure to glyphosate and the development of cancer in humans"	2015
European Commission Assessment Group on Glyphosate Europe	"Taking all the evidence into account i.e. animal experiments, epidemiological studies and statistical analyses The AGG proposes that a classification of glyphosate with regard to carcinogenicity is not justified."	2021
anses 🗘	"Level of evidence of carcinogenicity in animals and humans is considered to be relatively limited"	2016
apper reflexeds to structif services already and the services already and the services are already and the services are already as a service are already as a service are already as a service and the services are already as a service and already are already as a service and a servic	"36 [glyphosate-based] products will no longer be allowed for use from the end of 2020, due to a lack or absence of scientific data which would allow all genotoxical risk to be ruled out"	2019
Bundesinstitut für Risikobewertung Germany	"Available data do not show carcinogenic or mutagenic properties of glyphosate nor that glyphosate is toxic to fertility, reproduction or embryonal/fetal development in laboratory animals"	2015
Federal Department of Home Affairs FDHA Federal Food Safety and Veterinary Office FSVO Switzerland	"Residues of glyphosate in the foods investigated do not represent a risk of cancer"	2018
Australian Government Australian Pesticides and Veterinary Medicines Authority Australia	"Glyphosate does not pose a carcinogenic risk to humans Products containing glyphosate are safe to use as per the label instructions"	2016

Our brands:















0800 138 7222 sales@originamenity.com www.originamenity.com



What do global regulatory and research agencies conclude about the health impact of

LYPHOS

Environmental Protection Authority Te Mana Rauhī Talao New Zealand	"Unlikely to be carcinogenic to humans or genotoxic (damaging to genetic material or DNA) and should not be classified as a mutagen or carcinogen"	201
ANVISA Agéncia Nacional de Vigilância Sanitária Brazil	"No evidence to indicate that the herbicide glyphosate is carcinogenic"	201
Food Safety Commission of Japan Japan	"No neurotoxicity, carcinogenicity, reproductive toxicity, teratogenicity, and genotoxicity"	201
Rural Development Administration Korea	"Epidemiological studies on glyphosate found no cancer link"	201
World Health Organization Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations Global	"Glyphosate is unlikely to be genotoxic at anticipated dietary exposures. Glyphosate is unlikely to pose a carcinogenic risk to humans from exposure through the diet"	201
World Health Organization Drinking-water quality guidelines Global	"Under usual conditions, the presence of glyphosate and AMPA [aminomethylphosphonic acid, glyphosate's primary metabolite] in drinking-water does not represent a hazard to human health"	200
World Health Organization International Programme on Chemical Safety Global	"Available data on occupational exposure for workers applying Roundup indicate exposure levels far below the NOAELs [no observed adverse effect levels] from the relevant animal experiments"	199

Longitudinal Study

How glyphosate impacted 54,251 pesticide applicators since 1993.



Agricultural Health Study

"No association was apparent between glyphosate and any solid tumors or lymphoid malignancies overall, including non-Hodgkin's lymphoma and its subtypes... some evidence of increased risk of AML [acute myeloid leukemia] among the highest exposed group that requires confirmation"

2018

Hazard Assessment

International Agency for Research on Cancer



"Limited evidence in humans for the carcinogenicity of glyphosate. Evidence in humans for the carcinogenicity or glyphosate...

Evidence in humans is from studies of exposures, mostly agricultural [e.g. not from dietary exposure]... A positive association has been observed for non-Hodgkin lymphoma... There is 'strong' evidence that exposure to glyphosate or glyphosate-based formulations is genotoxic"

IARC placed glyphosate in its hazard category "Group 2A: probably carcinogenic to humans" along with red meat, hot beverages, and working as a barber. The evidence on carcinogenicity was less robust than for agents such as bacon, salted fish, oral contraceptives and wine.

2015

Genetic Literacy Project

Click on the bolded conclusions to take you to the document issued by the regulatory or research agency.

Infographic by Kayleen Schreiber, PhD, adopted from infographic by Maxime Pinazzi and fida Ruinbulme. Maxime Pinazzi is a media blogger focusing on skeptical a science news and author of ChiverPensants.fc (media analysis, critical thinking). Ilida Ruishalme is a biologist specializing in biomedical research and author of Thi

Our brands:









